



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,469	03/24/2004	Katsuya Miyata	62758-075	2918

⁷⁵⁹⁰
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3096

09/01/2009

EXAMINER

TAYLOR, JOSHUA D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2426

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/01/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/807,469	Applicant(s) MIYATA, KATSUYA	
	Examiner JOSHUA TAYLOR	Art Unit 2426	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOSHUA TAYLOR. (3) WEI-CHEN NICHOLAS CHEN (REG. NO. 56,665).

(2) JOSEPH HIRL. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 3,4,7-14,18 and 20-31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sin (Pat. No.: US 7,227,583).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner cautioned Applicant on the possible 101 implications of the term "communication partner." Also, Applicant seemed to understand that claims were too broad, and thus Sin read on the claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Josh Taylor/ Examiner, Art Unit 2426	/Joseph P. Hirl/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426
--	--